



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/518,453

07/12/2005

Martine Mayne

13777-37

7851

45473

7590

05/28/2009

BRINKS, HOFER, GILSON & LIONE

P.O. BOX 1340

MORRISVILLE, NC 27560

EXAMINER

MCCRACKEN, DANIEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1793

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/28/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/518,453

Applicant(s)

MAYNE ET AL.

Examiner

DANIEL C. MCCracken

Art Unit

1793

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DANIEL C. MCCracken.

(3) _____.

(2) Allyn B. Rhodes (56,745).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5/21/2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.Identification of prior art discussed: Mayne, Terrones, Kamalakaran.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representative presented arguments with respect to the pressure recited in Claim 1 in relation to the prior art. The rejection of Claims 2-3 under 35 USC 112 was discussed. As the issues required further consideration, no formal agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Daniel C. McCracken/
Examiner, Art Unit 1793/Stanley Silverman/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793